United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) Case No. 5:15-CR-260-1F
JAMES OWEN HAGINS) Case No. 5.15 St 255 11
Defendant)
DETENTION ORDI	ER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—Fin	dings of Fact
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offen	se that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or dest	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed federal, state release or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative	Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	dant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance and the	safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
\checkmark (1) There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will not appear.
$ \checkmark $ (2) There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II— S	Statement of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and informati	ion submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
be imposed which would reasonably assure	ight to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably afety of another person or the community. The lack of stable employment
Part II	I—Directions Regarding Detention
in a corrections facility separate, to the extent pending appeal. The defendant must be affore	stody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement a practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On a attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility as marshal for a court appearance.
Date: September 29, 2015	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title